SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

					Population		T		T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	l psycho	ological tests and school tas				A			
					Popula	tion	1		Measured CO ₂		Speed Change in	l or reaction	time	Change in	Accuracy			
Study	Year	Location	Season	Туре	Schools	Pupils	Age of pupils	CO ₂ averaging in original study (exposure metric)	concentration: range or levels (average concentration) (ppm)	Measurement of performance	speed or reaction time per 100 ppm decrease in CO ₂ conc.	Signif. (P)	Cohen's d	per 100 ppm decrease in CO2 conc.	Signif. (P)	Cohen's d		
Psychological	tests		I	I			1	T	T	T			1	1	1			
Myhrvold et al.	1996	Norway	Winter	Measurements before and after intervention	classooms in 5 high schools	550	15-20	Mean concentration during school- day	600-3800 (2200)	Simple reaction time; Choice reaction time; Colour-word vigilance	0,1%	0.009						
Myhrvold and Olsen (1997)	1997	Norway	Winter	Measurements before and after intervention	35 classrooms in 8 high schools	600	16-19	Mean concentration during school- day	735-1515 (1125)	Simple reaction time; Choice reaction time Colour-word vigilance	0.66%	N/A						
				Measurements with windows	1 classroom			Concentration at the onset of the test period		Simple reaction time	0,31%	0,02	0,28					
										Digit vigilance	0,10%	0,08	0,20	0,05%	0,44	0,08		
Coley et al.	2007	England	Summer	open and closed	elementary school	18	10-11		700- 2900 (1800)	Choice reaction time	0,23%	0,06	0,24	0,01%	0,75	0,04		
				ciosed	SCHOOL					Picture presentation and recognition	0,37%	0,15	0,22	0,07%	0,02	0,32		
Ribic	2008	Austria	N/A.	Measurements with windows open and closed	6 classrooms in 2 high schools	152	15-16	Concentration at the onset of the test period	870- 3300 (2085)	d2 test of attention	0,44%	0,001						
Ribic	2008	Austria	N/A	Measurements with windows open and closed	6 classrooms in 2 high schools	152	15-16	Concentration at the onset of the test period	870, 4300 (2585)	d2 test of attention	N/A	0,001						
					senoois			Mean concentration during the test period		Simple reaction time	0,07%	0,33		0,05%	0,10			
										Choice reaction time	0,08%	0,05		0,02%	0,12			
			Autumn,	Field	16					Colour-word vigilance	0,06%	0,06		0,05%	0,05			
Bakó-Biró et al.	2012	England	winter, spring and	intervention. Ventilation	classrooms in 8	332	9-10		concentration during the test	concentration during the test	950- 3000 (1975)	Addition reaction time	0,00%	0,25		-0,02%	0,16	
			early summer	rates were changed	elementary schools								Digit-span memory	0,15%	0,18		0,04%	0,47
										Digit classification	-0,05%	0,25		-0,01% 0,46				
										Digit-symbol matching	-0,23%	0,02	N/A	0,00%	0,44	N/A		

Entwurf Pawel Wargocki März 2020_mb

			ı	I			1	I	I	1	ı			<u> </u>			
										Picture memory	-0,03%	0,25	N/A	0,35%	0,02	N/A	
										Word recognition	0,57%	0,001	N/A.	0,02%	0,27	N/A	
School tasks			<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>						
				Field	2 classrooms			Mean		Addition	0,17%			0,25%			
Bakó-Biró et al.	2007	England	N/A	intervention. Ventilation rates were	in 1 elementary	40	9-10	concentration during the test	650-1850 (1250)	Subtraction	-0,22%			0,50%			
				changed	school			period		Reading and comprehension	N/A	NS		N/A	NS		
										Subtraction	8,29%	0,01	0,31	2,09%	0,06	0,19	
										Multiplication	9,69%	0,01	0,10	3,37%	0,05	0,25	
			Late	Field						Number comparison	4,66%	0,04	0,32	-0,63%	0,75	0,27	
Wargocki	2007	ъ	summer	intervention.	2 classrooms in 1		10.12	Weekly average	775 1000 (000)	Addition	6,85%	0,001	0,76	0,82%	0,23	0,15	
and Wyon	2007	Denmark	(August, September	Ventilation rates were	elementary school	44	10-12	(when pupils were present)	775- 1000 (888)	Logical reasoning	-0,62%	0,49	0,04	0,84%	0,47	0,09	
			,	changed						Acoustic proof- reading				0.69%	0,96	0,07	
										Reading and comprehension	-0,30%	0,78	0,02	0,49%	0,58	0,06	
										Proof-reading				0,91%	N/A	0,09	
										Subtraction	6,80%	0,01	0,51	-0,50%	0,20	0,17	
										Multiplication	2,20%	0,002	0,19	0,47%	0,32	0,07	
Wargocki	2007	ъ .	Winter	Field intervention.	2 classrooms in 1	4.4	10.12	Weekly average	025 1200 (1102)	Number comparison	1,53%	0,62	0,12	0,04%	0,53	0,02	
and Wyon	2007	Denmark	(January)	Ventilation rates were	elementary school	44	10-12	(when pupils were present)	925- 1280 (1102)	Logical reasoning	6,58%	0,003	0,51	-0,04%	0,63	0,01	
				changed						Acoustic proof- reading				-0.22%	0,56	0,07	
										Reading and comprehension	10,79%	0,001	0,74	-0,04%	0.91	0,01	
										Subtraction	4,14%	0,19	0,31	-0,08%	0,66	0,02	
				Field	2 classrooms					Addition	1,41%	0,24	0,11	0,13%	0,66	0,06	
Wargocki and Wyon	2007	Denmark	Late summer	intervention. Ventilation	in 1 elementary	48	10-12	Weekly average (when pupils	900- 1125 (1012)	Logical reasoning	-1,29%	0,57	0,10	-1,26%	0,29	0,14	
			(August)	rates were changed	school			were present)		Acoustic proof- reading				0.20%	0.71	0.03	
										Reading and comprehension	3,47%	0,01	0,22	1,33%	0,24	0,18	
de Gids et al.	2007	Nederland	Spring	Field intervention. Ventilation rates were changed	Elementary school	47	10-11	N.A.	620- 2125 (1373)	Neuro- psychological tasks							
		Daniel		T: 11						Addition	0,84%	0,01		0,16%	0,26		
Petersen et	2015		Autumn	Field intervention.	4 classrooms in 2	40	10.12	Weekly average (when pupils were present)	000 1510 (1105)	Number comparison	0,62%	0,03		0,12%	0,87		
al.	2015	Denmark	(Septembe r-October)	Ventilation rates were	elementary schools	40	10-12				880- 1510 (1195)	Grammatical reasoning	-0,35%	0,04		1,02%	0,29
				changed						Reading and comprehension	0,50%	0,01		0,50%	0,24		

Entwurf Pawel Wargocki März 2020_mb

Table A	2. Summa	ary of the dat	ta from stud	dies examinin	g the effect	of class	room ai	r quality o	n standard te	sts and rating scheme	s used to examine progress in learning
					Popula	tion					
Study	Year	Location	Season	Туре	Schools	Pupils	Age of pupils	Range of ventilation rates (L/s per person)	Peak CO2 concentration back calculated from ventilation rates reported (measured CO2 concentration) (ppm)	Measurement of performance	Effect
Shaughnessy et al.	2006	USA (Midwest)	Winter and spring	Cross- sectional	54 classrooms in 54 elementary schools	N/A	10	0.9-11.74	765-5200 (2982)	Standard math and reading test scores	Percentage of students scoring satisfactorily and above on math test (passing the test) increased significantly (P<0.10) with increasing ventilation; no effect on reading test scores
Haverinen- Shaughnessy et al.	2011	USA (Midwest)	Winter and spring	Cross sectional	104 classrooms in 104 elementary schools	5178	10	0.9-7.1	1000- 5200 (3100)	Standard math and reading test scores	2.9% higher number of students scoring satisfactorily and above on math test (passing the test) for each 1 L/s per person increase in ventilation rate; the effect was significant (P=0.033) 2.7% higher number of students scoring satisfactorily and above on reading test (passing the test) for each 1 L/s per person increase in ventilation rate; effect not significant (NS)
Gaihre et al.	2014	Scotland	Late spring (May, June)	Cross- sectional	60 classrooms in 30 elementary schools	N/A	6-7, 10-11	N/A	590-2115 (1352)	Reading, writing and numeracy	No significant effect
Haverinen- Shaughnessy et al.	2015	USA (Southwest)	Winter and spring (January to April)	Cross- sectional	140 classrooms in 70 elementary schools	3019	10	<2-11.3	1000- 5200 (3100)	Standard math, reading and science test scores	0.5% increase in scores on math for each 1 L/s per person increase in ventilation rate; the effect was significant (P=0.006). 6.7 points increase in mathematics (P=0.006), 4.3 points increase in reading (P=0.078) and 4.6 points increase in science (P=0.074) for each 1 L/s per person increase in ventilation rate; score>2400 points (commended performance); average score on mathematics 2286 points
Mendell et al.	2015	USA (California, 3 climate zones)	All seasons	Longitudinal 2-year long cross-sectional study in 3 school districts	150 classrooms in 27 elementary schools	5000	8-10	1.4-19.4	965-1950 (1458)	Standard math and Englisharts test scores	0.6% increase in performance of English-arts at ventilation rate <7L/s per person and 0.15% increase at ventilation rate >7 L/s per person for each 1 L/s per person increase in ventilation rate; the effect was significant (P=0.01) For English-arts 0.6 point increase per 10% higher ventilation (P=0.01) and for math 0.5 point per 10% increase in ventilation (P=0.23); average score 379 points (above basic of 350)
Toftum et al.	2015	Denmark	Fall/Winter	Cross- sectional with retrospective data on test performance	820 classrooms in 389 elementary schools	N/A	8-16	N/A	400- 4000 (2300)	Danish national test scheme: language fluency, competency in math, knowledge of English, knowledge in science arts (physics/chemistry, geography and biology)	No significant association between measured CO ₂ and the scores on national test scheme; there was a significant effect of type of ventilation pupils scoring higher in classrooms with mechanical ventilation compared to natural ventilation

Entwurf Pawel Wargocki März 2020_mb

Study	Year	CO ₂ generation rates (L/s per person)					
·		Teachers	Children				
Myrvold	1996	N.A. ¹					
Myrvold	1997	0.0052					
Shaughnessy, R. J.	2006	N.A. ²					
Coley et al.	2007	0.00	38				
Bakó-Biró et al.	2007	N.A	1				
Wargocki and Wyon (T)	2007	$0.0049 (\pm 0.0004)^{3}$					
Wargocki and Wyon (V1E)		0.0054 (±0.0004) ⁴					
Wargocki and Wyon (V2E)	2007	0.0052 (±0.0004) ⁴					
Ribic	2007	$N.A.^1$					
Haverinen-Shaughnessy et al.	2011	0.0052 0.006					
Bakó-Biró et al.	2012	0.0034 (±0.0002) ⁵					
Gaihre et al.	2014	N.A. ¹					
Haverinen-Shaughnessy and Shaughnessy	2015	0.0052	0.0043				
Mendell et al.	2015		0.0043				
Petersen et al.	2015	0.0057	0.0040				
Mean teachers and children separate (SD)		0.0054 (±0.0003)	0.0042 (±0.0002)				
Mean teachers and children together (SD)		0.0047 (±0.0007)					

¹ CO₂ measurements were reported but no equivalency to ventilation rates was given

Entwurf Pawel Wargocki März 2020 mb

² Ventilation rates were reported but no equivalency to CO₂ concentration was given

³ Average production of CO2 10 to 11-year-old children + teacher

⁴ Average production of CO2 12-year-old children + teacher

⁵ The carbon dioxide production per person was estimated, considering the children and adults present in the classroom. Body parameters of children: $A_{DuBois} = 1.15 \pm 0.05 \text{m}^2$ at normal activity levels of 1.2 met.